IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CWJC No.2057 of 2007
M/S S.P.MALIK & COMPANY PVT.LT
Versus
THE UNION OF INDIA & ORS
---

2 **01.07.2008** There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State present. Respondent in his counter affidavit has stated that the necessary payment has already been made to the petitioner that could be the reason why he is not pursuing the writ application. Court has perused paragraph-4 of the counter affidavit.

In view of no rebuttal, the writ application is dismissed having become infructuous.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Mkr. **(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)**